1	Dawniell Alise Zavala (CA State Bar No. 253130)	
2	HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP 560 Mission Street, 25 th Floor	
3	San Francisco, CA 94105-2994 Telephone: (415) 268-2000	
4	Facsimile: (415) 268-1999	
5	Email: dawniell.zavala@hro.com	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, CAPITOL RECORDS, INC.; UMG	
7	RECORDINGS, INC.; VIRGIN RECORDS	
8	AMERICA, INC.; LAFACE RECORDS LLC; LAVA RECORDS LLC; SONY BMG	
9	MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT; BMG	
10	MUSIC; and ATLANTIC RECORDING CORPORATION	
11		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION	
13		
14	CAPITOL RECORDS, INC., a Delaware	CASE NO. 4:08-cv-01662-WDB
15	corporation; UMG RECORDINGS, INC., a	W W D D "
16	Delaware corporation; VIRGIN RECORDS AMERICA, INC., a California corporation;	Hon. Wayne D. Brazil
17	LAFACE RECORDS LLC, a Delaware limited	EX PARTE APPLICATION TO CONTINUE
18	liability company; LAVA RECORDS LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; SONY	CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
19	BMG MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT, a Delaware general partnership; BMG MUSIC, a New York	
20	general partnership; and ATLANTIC	
21	RECORDING CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,	
22		
23	Plaintiffs,	
24	V.	
25	JOHN DOE,	
26	Defendant.	
27		1
28		

EX PARTE APPLICATION TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

Case No. 4:08-cv-01662-WDB #38406 v1

Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court continue the case management conference currently set for July 3, 2008 at 4:00 p.m. to September 4, 2008. As further explained below, Plaintiffs have discovered the identity of the Doe defendant in this case and the parties have reached a provisional settlement. In support of their request, Plaintiffs state as follows:

- 1. The initial case management conference is set for July 3, 2008 at 4:00 p.m. Plaintiffs have not requested any previous continuances of the case management conference in this matter.
- 2. Plaintiffs filed their Complaint for Copyright Infringement against Defendant John Doe ("Defendant") on March 27, 2008. Plaintiffs did not have sufficient identifying information to name the defendant individually in the Complaint, but were able to identify Defendant by the Internet Protocol address assigned by Defendant's Internet Service Provider ("ISP").
- 3. In order to determine Defendant's true name and identity, Plaintiffs filed their Ex Parte Application for Leave to Take Immediate Discovery on March 27, 2008, requesting that the Court enter an Order allowing Plaintiffs to serve a Rule 45 subpoena on the ISP.
- The Court entered an Order for Leave to take Immediate Discovery on April 1, 2008, which Plaintiffs then served upon the ISP along with a Rule 45 subpoena.
- 5. Soon after Plaintiffs' subpoena was served upon the ISP, Defendant contacted Plaintiffs' settlement representatives and the parties have since engaged in several settlement discussions. Plaintiffs now believe that a provisional settlement has been reached.
- 6. Plaintiffs therefore wish to give Defendant a brief period of time to conclude settlement negotiations before proceeding with the litigation. If the case settles, Plaintiffs will promptly file appropriate dispositional documents. If the case does not settle, Plaintiffs plan to file a First Amended Complaint naming Defendant individually and proceed to serve him with process.

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

20

21

25

27

28